# IN THE HIGH COURT OF THE UNITED REPUBLIC OF TANZANIA (COMMERCIAL DIVISION) AT DAR-ES-SALAAM

### MISC.COMMERCIAL APPL. NO.94 OF 2021

(Arising from Commercial Case No.76 of 2021)

VIVO ENERGY TANZANIA LIMITED------APPLICANT

#### VERSUS

Date of Ruling: 01/12/2021

NANGELA, J:.,

This is ruling is in respect of an application filed by the Applicant under a certificate of urgency. The application was brought under Order XXXVII Rule 2 (1) and Section 68(e) of the Civil Procedure Act, Cap.33 RE 2019.

It was filed on this 9<sup>th</sup> day of July 2021 and, noting that it was filed as an urgent matter, I called it on for its hearing ex-parte and thereby issued an ex-parte interdict order as deemed appropriate. I proceeded to schedule the application for *inter partes* necessary orders. On 13<sup>th</sup> July 2021, Mr Josiah Noah Samwel, learned advocate, appeared for the Applicant. On the other hand, Mr Joseph Nuwamanya, learned Advocate, appeared from the 2<sup>nd</sup> Respondent while the 1<sup>st</sup> and 3<sup>rd</sup> Respondents were absent.

Given the absence of the 1<sup>st</sup> and 3<sup>rd</sup> Respondent in Court, the learned counsel for the Applicant prayed that summons to appear be issued to the 1<sup>st</sup> and 3<sup>rd</sup> Respondents. For his part Mr Nuwamanya prayed to file a counter affidavit and, all those prayers were granted and the matter was scheduled for orders on 19<sup>th</sup> July 2021. Unfortunately on the 19<sup>th</sup> July 2021, it was only the learned counsel for the Applicant who showed up in Court. Consequently, the matter was again set for order on the 14<sup>th</sup> day of August 2021.

On the respective date, the learned counsels for the Applicant and the 2<sup>nd</sup> Respondent appeared in Court but the 1<sup>st</sup> and 3<sup>rd</sup> Respondents never showed up. Mr Josiah for the Applicant informed the Court that there were some ongoing discussions between the Applicant and some of the Respondents which could signal an amicable settlement.

As such, a prayer was made to have the matter adjourned to give the parties an opportunity to have meaningful engagements. Prayers of the like nature were sought thrice until when this Court informed the parties, that, any further adjournment would attract costs as per the rules of this Court. Indeed, on 3<sup>rd</sup> of November 2021, this Court had to adjourn the matter with costs and set it for hearing on the 16<sup>th</sup> November 2021.

On the material date, Mr Dismas Mallya, learned advocated appeared for the Applicant while Mr Nuwamanya appeared for the 2<sup>nd</sup> Respondent. As usual, the 1<sup>st</sup> and 3<sup>rd</sup> Respondents did not show up and, hence, the matter proceeded *ex-parte* against them. Since there was an earlier order of the Court regarding costs, for which the Court was to receive feedback regarding whether it was complied with or not, it was Mr Nuwamanya who started to address the Court.

In his submission, Mr. Nuwamanya informed the Court that the order was fully complied. He further told the Court, ascregards, the matter at hand, that, the 2<sup>nd</sup> Respondent is not contesting this application. However, he told the Court that, pursuant to paragraph 15 of the affidavit of Mr Mallya, it is shown that the 3<sup>rd</sup> Respondent has already deducted from the 2<sup>nd</sup> Respondent NOSTRO Account a total of EURO (€) 170,676 (which is equivalent of USD (\$) 201,398.44, the amount referred under the to Letter of Credit No. He referred to this Court 002LCNB210540001). **Exh.NBC -5** attached to the 2<sup>nd</sup> Respondent's counter affidavit.

The **Exh.NBC -5** shows that the deductions were effected on 16<sup>th</sup> July 2021. I take note that these deductions were **"made even after the orders of this Court dated 9<sup>th</sup> July 2021"**. Mr Nuwamanya told this Court that the 2<sup>nd</sup> Respondent complied with the orders of this Court and has not debited the Applicant's account, but, as it has been shown herein, the 2<sup>nd</sup> Respondent's NOSTRO Account was debited by the 3<sup>rd</sup> Respondent on the 16<sup>th</sup> July 2021.

For his part, Mr Mallya told this Court that, it is indeed true that the 2<sup>nd</sup> Respondent filed a counter affidavit and, based on paragraph 15 of it and Annex. NBC -5, it shows that the 3<sup>rd</sup> Respondent has already debited an amount in **EURÓ** (€) which is equivalent to the amount under the **Letter of Credit No. 002LCNB210540001** ("LC"). He charged that, the 3<sup>rd</sup> Respondent's conduct was contrary and was in flagrant breach of the orders of this Court dated 9<sup>th</sup> July 2021.

Mr Mallya submitted that, under the said order of this Court, the 2<sup>nd</sup> and 3<sup>rd</sup> Respondents were restrained from taking any action aimed at encashing the **LC No. 002LCNB210540001** or giving the 1<sup>st</sup> Respondent any amount under the **LC**. He contended that, both the 2<sup>nd</sup> and 3<sup>rd</sup> Respondents were fully aware of the said restraint order dated 09<sup>th</sup> July 2021. In view of that, Mr, Mallya prayed that:

- The 3<sup>rd</sup> Respondent be summoned to appear before this Court.
- 2. The 3<sup>rd</sup> Respondent be made to show cause regarding why this Court should not make a finding that the 3<sup>rd</sup> Respondent is guilty of having committed a contemptuous act for having defied the lawful orders of this Court.

Mr Nuwamanya rejoined by restating what he earlier stated, regarding the non-contentious, position taken by the 2<sup>nd</sup> Respondent in respect of this application. However, he prayed that, this Court=be=pleased to deliver its ruling regarding the matter after-it has addressed the new prayers brought to the light by the Applicant.

Indeed, I can see that there is logic in determining the prayers made by the Applicant regarding the payments already made by the 3<sup>rd</sup> Respondent under the **``LC" No. 002LCNB210540001,** despite the fact that on the 09<sup>th</sup> July 2021, this Court restrained all Respondents from taking steps which would make it possible for the 1<sup>st</sup> Respondent to realize monies under the **LC**. In view of that, this Court orders as follows:

> THAT, the 3<sup>rd</sup> Respondent (ODDO BHF AKTIENGESELLCHAFT) be made to appear in Court on 10<sup>th</sup> of December 2021, at 9.00 am, and show cause why this Court should

> > Page 5 of 6

not make a finding that the 3<sup>rd</sup> Respondent is in contravention of the clear and lawful orders of this Court dated 09<sup>th</sup> July 2021.

 THAT, further orders to follow after the 10<sup>th</sup> of December 2021 in respect of this application.

#### It is so Ordered

#### DATED at DAR-ES-SALAAM, this 01<sup>st</sup> DAY OF DECEMBER 2021

#### HON. DEO JOHN NANGELA JUDGE, Court of the United Republic of Tan

## High Court of the United Republic of Tanzania, Commercial Division.

Ruling delivered in the presence of Mr Josiah Noah Samwel and Mr Dismas Mallya, Learned Advocates for the Applicant, and Mr Joseph Nuwamanya, Advocates for the  $2^{nd}$  Respondent, in the absence of the  $1^{st}$  and  $3^{rd}$ Respondents.

### HON. DEO JOHN NANGELA JUDGE, High Court of the United Republic of Tanzania, Commercial Division.

Page 6 of 6